Balancing Act: Free Speech v Online Content Moderation

Saurabh Mishra[*]

Abstract: The present study explores the complex dynamics between free speech and online content moderation in the digital age. It delves into ethical considerations, legal frameworks, and the integration of technology in addressing the challenges of balancing unrestricted expression with the need to curb online content. This study thoroughly talks about the impact of online content on society. It also provides an in-depth analysis of existing laws and their implications for digital platforms. It also sheds light on the diverse approaches to balancing free speech and content moderation globally. Additionally, it presents practical suggestions for policymakers, digital platforms, and society at large.

Keywords: *Free speech, Internet Regulation, Hate Speech, Digital Ethics, Freedom of Expression, Online Content Moderation.*

1. Introduction

> *"Free speech is the bedrock of a thriving democracy, a sacred space where ideas, opinions, and beliefs converge in a marketplace of thought."*

The Greeks are credited with introducing the democratic principle of free speech. The word "parrhesia" comes from ancient Greek and means "to speak honestly" or "free speech." The term did not exist in Greek literature until the end of the fifth century B.C.[1]

Free speech, a cornerstone of democratic societies, serves as a bedrock principle that fosters the exchange of ideas and opinions, enabling the growth of knowledge and the progression of societies. Rooted in the belief that individuals have the inherent right to express themselves without fear of censorship or reprisal, free speech finds itself at the intersection of fundamental human rights and the collective pursuit of truth. In the digital age, the advent of online content has expanded the avenues through which individuals exercise their right to free speech, presenting both opportunities and challenges in an interconnected world.

---

[*] Student, LL.M 2nd Year, SRT Campus, Hemwati Nandan Bahuguna Garhwal University
[1] 'First Amendment Limits on Compulsory Speech', 'First Amendment Limits on Compulsory Speech' (3 April 2016).

Article 19(1)(a) of the Indian Constitution provides the right to free speech and expression, enabling people to voice their opinions without interference. This freedom is not unqualified, though, as Article 19(2) permits reasonable limitations to be placed in place to uphold national interests and public order. Courts have been essential in interpreting this right, protecting it, and highlighting how important it is to maintain democratic norms.[2]

Online platforms have given free speech a new dimension and increased its effect and reach. Social media, blogs, forums, and other online platforms have developed into effective means for people to express themselves to a worldwide audience. But this growth has presented difficult unfamiliar problems. In addition to serving as a platform for free speech, online content serves as a battlefield for disputes about things like hate speech, disinformation, and content management. Societies are constantly faced with the challenge of balancing the benefits of free expression with the requirement to oversee possible risks in the virtual public sphere.[3]

The accessibility and international nature of the internet have democratized the exchange of ideas and fueled a thriving global discourse. Online content has taken center stage as a major medium for both individual and group expression. This includes social media posts and blogs. But issues with filter bubbles, echo chambers, and the amplifying of extreme viewpoints cast doubt on the diversity and caliber of the virtual public square. This dynamic landscape is further complicated by the obligations placed on internet platforms to moderate information to minimize harm while upholding the right to free speech.

The promotion and defense of human rights face both opportunities and problems from the new medium of online communication. Any information, expression, or communication created, shared, or accessible via the Internet is referred to as online content. Examples of this type of material include text, photos, audio, video, and multimedia. People can use online material to exercise their right to free speech by giving them a variety of easily available platforms to share and express their thoughts and opinions, obtain, and share knowledge and information, and take part in democratic and public deliberations.

The digital age has brought new challenges for free expression, which is a fundamental component of democratic societies. Online content has opened previously unheard-of

---

[2] DD Basu, *Introduction to the Constitution of India* (2018).

[3] 'What Speech Should Be Outside of Freedom of Expression', 'What Speech Should Be Outside of Freedom of Expression' (2 November 2010).

avenues for expression, but it has also brought forth issues that need to be carefully considered. Maintaining a balance between safeguarding free speech and reducing possible risks in virtual environments is still a crucial responsibility for societies navigating this changing terrain. It is crucial to comprehend the definition and foundations of free speech in both offline and online environments to develop laws that support a vibrant, diverse, and responsible online public sphere.

2. Positive and Negative impact of online content on society

"Online content has the potential to shape minds, influence opinions, and impact society. With this power comes a responsibility to contribute positively to the collective discourse."

Any kind of expression, communication, or information that is produced, shared, or accessible via the Internet is referred to as online content. This includes text, photos, audio, video, and multimedia. Our everyday lives now revolve around social media: we use it to communicate with friends and family, accept invites to public events, and join online communities to meet people who have similar interests. With the advent of social media in the early 2000s, social interactions now have more channels and avenues for communication. Recent studies indicate that consumers use social media for 2.3 hours every day on average.[4] In 2022, youngsters have become increasingly accustomed to using YouTube, TikTok, Instagram, and Snapchat; one-third of them believe they use these sites excessively[5]. Depending on how it is used and controlled, online material can affect society in both beneficial and harmful ways. Among the benefits and drawbacks of online content are:

The Positive Impact of Online Content:

Online content has become a potent force in the current digital era, radically changing how we communicate information, express our creativity, and create communities. With far-reaching effects on our daily lives, the internet has grown into a massive library of various media, including text, photographs, videos, and interactive platforms. advantages of online material are**:**

1. **Information Access:** The internet offers never-before-seen levels of information access. People can acquire new skills, remain up to date on current affairs, and access instructional materials thanks to online information.

---

[4]Statista, *'Time spent on social media' (2022)* <www.statista.com> (accessed 14 December 2023).
[5]Pew Research Center, *'Teens and Social Media: Key Findings from Pew Research Center Surveys'* (Pew Research Center, 2023) https://www.pewresearch.org/internet/2023/10/05/teens-and-social-media-2023/.

2. Global Communication: Global instantaneous connection and communication are made possible by online platforms. Especially social media makes it possible for people to work together, exchange ideas, and create communities beyond national borders.

3. Freedom of Expression: People can freely express themselves and exchange different points of view thanks to the internet. It has made it easier for everyone to take part in public conversation.

4. Economic Opportunities: Online platforms have opened up new doors for entrepreneurship, enabling people to launch companies, sell goods, and connect with customers around the world. Many people have access to economic prospects through e-commerce and digital platforms.

5. Educational Resources: Online content makes distance learning easier and gives people all around the world access to educational resources. It has transformed traditional schooling and made lifelong learning possible.

6. Entertainment and Creativity: There are a ton of entertainment alternatives available on the internet, including user-generated content and streaming services. It provides a stage for artistic expression and encourages the development of diverse art genres.

7. Collaboration and Innovation: The use of online platforms promotes both attributes. It is simple for people and organizations to exchange ideas, work together on initiatives, and progress society and technology.

Negative impact of online content

Unquestionably, the emergence of internet content has changed how we interact with one another, consume information, and express ourselves. Even though there are many advantages to the digital age, it is important to recognize the drawbacks of online material. These drawbacks are:[6]

1. Misinformation and Disinformation: Since it is so simple to publish content online, misinformation and disinformation have proliferated, affecting public opinion, and occasionally having negative real-world repercussions.

2. Cyberbullying and Harassment: Cyberbullying and harassment have the potential to flourish on online platforms. Anonymity might give people the confidence to act badly, which can have an impact on victims' mental health.

---

[6]Yachika, *'10 Positive and Negative Effects of social media on Society'* (2023) Accessed 16 December 2023.

3. Contributes to the "digital divide": The term "digital divide" describes the difference in access to the internet between individuals who can afford it and those who cannot due to lack of resources, illiteracy, or poverty. Due to social media's ability to set trends and engage a larger youth population, both adults and young people who are unable to access or comprehend these trends are slipping behind.

4. Privacy Concerns: The creation and exchange of personal data is a common feature of online content. People may not be aware of how their information is used, which could result in misuse, which raises privacy concerns.

5. Digital Addiction: Digital addiction is a result of consuming too much stuff online, especially on social media. This could be detrimental to one's productivity, social interactions in the real world, and mental wellness.

6. Online Radicalization: Internet information has the potential to promote radical beliefs and radicalization. People could encounter dangerous beliefs that encourage radical conduct.

Online information has a complex social impact that can have both beneficial and adverse effects. Although technology has greatly improved communication, information access, and economic prospects, issues like false information, cyberbullying, and privacy concerns highlight the necessity of responsible usage and efficient regulation of online platforms. Policymakers, communities, and people must continue to work toward balancing the benefits and drawbacks.[7]

3. Indian legal framework and Penal laws about online content regulation

"In the intricate web of cyberspace, India's legal framework weaves a tapestry of regulations and penal laws, fostering a digital environment that strives for accountability, safeguards against cybercrimes, and upholds the principles of justice in the evolving landscape of the online realm."

The proliferation of online platforms has ushered in a new era of communication, commerce, and expression, needing a robust legal framework to address the challenges and opportunities in the digital space. In India, the legal landscape governing online activities is multifaceted, encompassing regulations and penal laws aimed at ensuring a secure, ethical, and accountable

---

[7] Evgeny Morozov, *The Net Delusion: The Dark Side of the internet Freedom* (Allen Lane, 2015)

online environment. This chapter explores the key aspects of the Indian legal framework on online regulation and penal laws, emphasizing the evolving nature of legislation to keep pace with the dynamic digital landscape. Some of the important statutes and rules are:

1. **The Information Technology Act, 2000 (IT Act):** This is the primary law that deals with cyber-crimes and electronic commerce in India. It defines cybercrimes and provides penalties for offenses such as hacking, data theft, cyber terrorism, and phishing. It also includes provisions for the protection of personal information and data privacy. The IT Act was amended in 2008 to incorporate new offenses and enhance the powers of the authorities.[8]

2. **The Indian Penal Code, 1860 (IPC):** This is the general criminal law of India that covers various offenses against the state, property, and persons. It also applies to cybercrimes that are not covered by the IT Act, such as defamation, forgery, cheating, and conspiracy. [9]

3. **The Information Technology Rules, 2011 (IT Rules):** These are the rules framed under the IT Act to regulate the intermediaries, such as internet service providers, social media platforms, and online marketplaces, that host or transmit online content. The IT Rules require the intermediaries to exercise due diligence, follow certain guidelines, and cooperate with the authorities concerning online content. The IT Rules also prescribe the procedure for blocking access to unlawful online content.[10]

   - **Section 69A:** This section gives the government the authority to censor internet information that promotes violence or endangers public safety. It gives the government the authority to order the blocking of websites or content.

   - **Section 79:** Social media platforms and other intermediaries are subject to responsibility under this section. Intermediaries must exercise reasonable diligence and, upon notification from the relevant authorities, swiftly remove or disable access to illegal content.

   - **Section 505(2):** This section prohibits the sharing or dissemination of materials that incite animosity, hatred, or malice toward religious or social groups.

---

[8]Nikunj Arora, *"Cyber-crime laws in India"* (2022) >accessed 16 December 2023.
[9]Peeyush Raj Trivedi, *"Strengthening Cyber Security and Data Protection in India: An Analysis of Legal Frameworks and Case Studies"* (2023) Legal Bites accessed 16 December 2023.
[10]Vinod Joseph and Deeya Ray, *"India: Cyber Crimes Under the IPC And IT Act - An Uneasy Co-Existence"* (2020).

4. **The Personal Data Protection Bill, 2019 (PDP Bill):** This is a proposed legislation that aims to provide a comprehensive framework for the protection of personal data in India. The PDP Bill defines personal data, sensitive personal data, and critical personal data, and lays down the principles, rights, and obligations for the collection, processing, and transfer of such data. The PDP Bill also establishes a Data Protection Authority to oversee and enforce the data protection regime.

5. **The National Cyber Security Policy, 2013 (NCSP):** This is a policy document that outlines the vision, objectives, and strategies for enhancing the cybersecurity posture of India. The NCSP covers various aspects of cybersecurity, such as legal, technical, institutional, and international. The NCSP also identifies the roles and responsibilities of various stakeholders, such as the government, the private sector, and civil society, in ensuring cybersecurity.

6. **Information Technology (Digital Media Ethics Code and Intermediary Guidelines) Regulations, 2021:** These regulations were put in place to control digital media, which includes social media intermediaries, OTT platforms, and news portals. They provide user grievance redressal procedures, content removal rules, and intermediary compliance criteria.

    - the designation of a Nodal Contact Person, Grievance Redressal Officer, and Chief Compliance Officer.
    - creation of a comprehensive content moderation system that involves the removal of prohibited content kinds within 36 hours of receiving a court order or notification from the appropriate authorities.
    - creation of a grievance redressal system to manage customer concerns in a predetermined amount of time.

India's dedication to promoting a safe and responsible digital environment is reflected in the country's regulatory framework for internet regulation and criminal laws. The legal system is always changing to meet new issues and safeguard the safety and rights of internet users as the digital landscape does. To effectively negotiate the complexities of online activity in the Indian environment, stakeholders in the digital domain must have a comprehensive awareness of the laws that are in place as well as continuing advancements.

4. Judicial take on free speech and online content moderation

By their interpretations and rulings, Indian courts have been instrumental in establishing the bounds of social media law. A few essential guidelines imposed by the courts are:[11]

1. **Balancing fundamental rights:** The goal of the legal system is to achieve a balance between the right to free expression and other essential liberties like the right to privacy, reputation, and public order. When determining whether online communication is legal, they frequently consider its context, intent, and potential harm.

2. **Clarity and Proportionality:** Courts stress that to censor speech on the internet, laws must be precise and unambiguous. They also emphasize that limitations should not be unduly vague or wide, but rather should be commensurate with the harm that is being attempted to be avoided.

3. **Due Diligence and Content Moderation:** Courts have acknowledged that social media companies must put in place efficient systems for content filtering. It is anticipated that platforms will possess unambiguous policies, guidelines, and procedures for eliminating or blocking access to illicit or detrimental content.

5. Case Laws

1. **Indian National Congress (I) v. Union of India (2014)[12]:** In this ruling, the Supreme Court upheld the legality of Section 66A while offering clarification on its interpretation. The court's decision states that online speech can only be blocked if it poses a serious threat to public order or has the potential to inspire violence. It emphasised how important it is to find a middle ground between maintaining public order and permitting free speech.

2. **Kamlesh Vaswani v. Union of India (2015)[13]:** The topic of this case was how to restrict websites that host harmful content, especially child pornography. The Supreme Court ruled that to shield kids from abuse, middlemen like social media sites must proactively detect and prohibit access to such content.

---

[11]Arvind Amit, "*Social Media and Freedom of Speech: The Legal Boundaries in India*" (Prime Legal).
[12]*Indian National Congress (I) v Union of India* [2014] 1 SCC 1.
[13]*Kamlesh Vaswani v Union of India* [2015] 8 SCC 731

3. **Faheema Shirin R.K. v. State of Kerala (2019)[14]:**In this case, the Kerala High Court decided that a person's freedom of expression and choice cannot be restricted only because someone objected to something that someone else posted on social media. It emphasised how important it is to allow people to express their opinions without fear of retaliation or censorship.

4. **Maheshwari v. Union of India (2020):[15]**In this instance, the plea sought to have the FIR against the social media user—who was accused of posting inappropriate content—quashed. The Supreme Court made it plain that users of social media cannot be held accountable for simply sending or sharing anything unless there is a deliberate attempt to instigate violence or promote hate speech.

6. Comparative studies with the Countries having strict laws for online content regulations.

"With great powers comes great responsibility. This is especially true in the digital age, where online content has the power to shape perceptions and influence society on a global scale."

Since the internet connects people from all social, political, and cultural backgrounds, it has become increasingly difficult to regulate content on the internet. In response to the complexity of internet information, several nations have put in place stringent legal systems designed to uphold moral standards, preserve public order, and safeguard public interests. This chapter undertakes an extensive analysis of the laws governing online material in nations renowned for their strict regulatory frameworks.

1. **China: The Great Firewall and Content Control:** China is well known for its extensive online content control system, also known as the "Great Firewall." The government uses innovative technology to regulate and keep an eye on online activity. Content that is considered to be politically sensitive or to be unstable for society is strictly censored. Online sites must follow content restrictions, and social media conversations are closely watched and controlled by the government.

2. **Singapore: Stringent Regulation for National Harmony:** To protect national unity and stop the spread of false information, Singapore has passed stringent rules governing

---

[14]*Faheema Shirin R.K. v. State of Kerala* [2019] INSC 644.
[15]*Maheshwari v Union of India* [2020] SCC Online SC 1223.

online content. Government authorities have the authority to issue correction orders, takedown notices, and impose fines for the spread of misleading material through the Protection against Online Falsehoods and Manipulation Act (POFMA). To preserve social cohesiveness, Singapore is committed to upholding a regulated online environment, which is reflected in POFMA.

3. **Russia: Control of Digital Information:** Russia has put regulations into place to protect state security and regulate digital information. Internet service providers are required by the "Yarovaya Law" to store user data and grant security agencies access. Laws prohibiting the dissemination of extremist content are also strictly upheld. Along with other steps, the Russian government has taken action to control internet platforms, primarily to combat illicit activities and material.

4. **Iran: Online Surveillance and Filtering:** Iran has stringent regulations governing online content to monitor and manage online activity and restrict access to information. Content that the government deems to be against Islamic principles and political dissent is actively filtered and censored. There is widespread internet surveillance, and there are harsh legal repercussions for disseminating information that disagrees with the government's viewpoint.

5. **Turkey: Restrictions on Freedom of Expression:** Turkey has faced criticism for its restrictive approach to online content regulation, particularly in curbing freedom of expression. Laws empower authorities to block websites, limit access to social media platforms, and prosecute individuals for online activities deemed as a threat to national security or public order. The legal framework in Turkey illustrates a delicate balance between national security concerns and freedom of expression.

The comparative analysis of India's online content regulations with countries that enforce stringent legal frameworks reveals a spectrum of approaches to addressing the complexities of the digital era. India has taken a nuanced approach, emphasised intermediary duty while strived to find a balance between freedom of expression and content oversight, led by the Information Technology Act and intermediary standards. Countries with strict regulations, such as China, Germany, Singapore, and the United Kingdom, highlight diverse models ranging from extensive censorship and centralized control to stringent penalties for content moderation lapses.

The challenge for all nations lies in navigating the delicate balance between protecting users from harmful content and preserving fundamental principles like freedom of

expression. Stricter frameworks often face scrutiny for potentially stifling free speech, while lighter regulations may encounter difficulties in controlling misinformation. Technological advancements continue to pose challenges for regulatory bodies worldwide. The dynamic nature of online platforms demands continual adaptation to emerging threats and evolving user behaviours.

India's evolving legal framework demonstrates a willingness to learn from global practices while maintaining a unique approach. The emphasis on intermediary responsibility, coupled with a decentralized regulatory landscape, reflects a conscious effort to address the complexities of the digital age.

As India moves forward in shaping its online content regulations, the lessons drawn from countries with strict legal frameworks provide valuable insights. The key lies in fostering an adaptable legal environment that safeguards user rights, encourages responsible content governance, and embraces the dynamism of the digital landscape. The journey towards effective and fair online content regulation is an ongoing process, demanding continuous evaluation, international collaboration, and a commitment to upholding the principles of a democratic and open society in the digital age.

7. Conclusion

As the internet reaches almost every aspect of our lives because of its multifaceted Ness, including our romantic alliances, political campaigns, and saving lives in matters of disaster management, the need for online content moderation becomes increasingly imperative. A cooperative monitoring system that brings together government agencies, business leaders, and members of civil society to examine and revise legislation related to online content moderation regularly. This guarantees flexibility in addressing changing digital obstacles. A cooperative monitoring system will help in bringing together government agencies, business leaders, and members of civil society to examine and revise legislation related to online content moderation regularly. This particular aspect requires introduction of new laws that ensures digital accessibility and inclusivity for individuals with disabilities. This would mandate online platforms to adhere to accessibility standards, making digital content and services accessible to all users. This guarantees flexibility in addressing changing

digital obstacles. It is further encouraged cross-border cooperation and information exchange to oversee issues about online content that crosses national borders. Provide structures for international collaboration in the fight against cybercrimes to guarantee a coordinated and successful response. In navigating the complex landscape of online content moderation, a multifaceted approach involving legal reforms, new legislation, and collaborative efforts is crucial to creating a secure and responsible digital ecosystem. It is also time for content moderation to cease to be viewed as a way of censorship, but a tool to provide a safe space on the internet, for its users' wellbeing and health. They need to be placed on higher standards, as the world economy, world politics, and global citizenship continue to remain under pressure of this advent of technology.