

Treading Carefully: The Risks and Rewards of Rewriting the Indian Constitution

Adarsh Rai*

"The Constitution is not a sacred scripture; it is a man-made document that is capable of being improved upon"

Dr. B.R. Ambedkar, Architect of the Indian Constitution

Abstract: The Indian Constitution, a revered document woven from historical aspirations and legal frameworks, stands as the bedrock of the nation's identity. However, amidst the turbulence of the 21st century, the question of revisiting or even rewriting this foundational document has ignited a heated debate. This abstract delves into the intricate arguments surrounding this potential transformation, exploring both the alluring promises of addressing contemporary challenges and the treacherous pitfalls of unforeseen consequences. Advocates for rewriting cite the Constitution's inherent flexibility, arguing that it must adapt to evolving realities like social justice, economic disparity, and federal structures¹. They envision a more unified national vision through a revised framework that addresses concerns about rising religious and regional tensions. However, opponents raise stark warnings about the potential Pandora's box that rewriting could unleash². Unforeseen interpretations, power struggles, and a potential erosion of the delicate balance between central and state authority could destabilize the nation's political fabric. Tampering with core values like secularism and social justice could further exacerbate social and religious divisions. The path forward, as argued in this abstract, lies not in sweeping pronouncements of rewriting the entire document, but in a nuanced approach that embraces measured change. Utilizing the established amendment process allows for addressing specific issues without compromising the Constitution's core principles.

* Student, BCOM LLB, Institute of Law, Nirma University

¹The Wire, 'Full Text | Rewriting Constitution Means Breaking India Apart, Says Fali Nariman' (*The Wire*2023) <<https://thewire.in/law/full-text-rewriting-constitution-means-breaking-india-apart-says-fali-nariman>> accessed 17 April 2024.

²Scroll Staff, 'We Need Two-Thirds Majority to Amend or Rewrite Constitution, Says BJP MP Lallu Singh' (*Scroll.in*15 April 2024) <<https://scroll.in/latest/1066638/we-need-two-thirds-majority-to-amend-or-rewrite-constitution-says-bjp-mp-lallu-singh#:~:text=Bharatiya%20Janata%20Party%20leader%20Lallu>> accessed 17 April 2024.

Keywords: *Indian Constitution, Rewriting, Constitutional Reform, Risks, Rewards, Democracy, National Identity, Federalism, Secularism, Social Justice.*

1. Introduction

"We, the people of India, have solemnly resolved to constitute India into a Sovereign Socialist Secular Democratic Republic and to secure to all its citizens: Justice, social, economic and political; Liberty of thought, expression, belief, faith and worship; Equality of status and opportunity; and to promote among them all fraternity assuring the dignity of the individual and the unity of the Nation." - Preamble to the Indian Constitution

These iconic words capture the essence of the Indian Constitution, a document that has served as the bedrock of the nation's democracy for over seven decades. However, as India grapples with evolving socio-political realities, discussions concerning the need for revisiting or even rewriting the Constitution have garnered considerable attention. The hallowed halls of the Constituent Assembly in 1947 echoed with dreams of a new India³. From the ashes of colonialism, a nation yearned for democracy, equality, and justice. These aspirations were meticulously woven into the fabric of the Indian Constitution, adopted in 1950. For over seven decades, this document has served as the bedrock of the nation's legal and social framework, guiding its journey through turbulence and progress. Yet, in the face of a rapidly evolving society and changing landscapes, murmurs of revisiting or even rewriting this revered document have begun to stir.

This call for revisiting the Constitution arises from a multitude of factors. Social realities have morphed over time, leaving certain provisions seemingly outdated or inadequate. Aspirations for economic development and national unity might warrant modifications. On the other hand, anxieties over rising inequalities, religious polarization, and erosion of democratic values fuel concerns about the adequacy of the current framework.

Proponents of rewriting see it as an opportunity to address these contemporary challenges. They argue that a fresh constitutional vision can pave the way for a more inclusive and equitable society, addressing issues like gender discrimination, caste prejudice, and economic disparity. By streamlining outdated provisions and incorporating contemporary needs, they believe the nation can better navigate the complexities of the 21st century.

However, this path is not without its thorns. Opponents warn that venturing into a rewriting

³ Granville Austin, *The Indian Constitution: Cornerstone of a Nation* (Oxford University Press, 1966)

exercise could unleash unforeseen consequences. The Constitution, they argue, embodies the collective wisdom of the founding fathers, reflecting a delicate balance between diverse communities and ideologies⁴. Rewriting it might open a Pandora's Box of competing claims and aspirations, potentially destabilizing the fragile social fabric. Furthermore, unforeseen loopholes or unintended amendments could threaten the very core values enshrined in the original document – democracy, secularism, and federalism.

The debate, therefore, transcends mere legal technicalities. It delves into the heart of India's national identity, its social fabric, and its future trajectories. Rewriting the Constitution is not just about amending clauses; it is about reshaping the very soul of the nation. Proponents argue that certain provisions hinder progress, while others fear such tinkering may destabilize the delicate balance of the document. This article navigates the intricate debate surrounding potential constitutional reforms, exploring the potential benefits and pitfalls associated with rewriting the Indian Constitution.

2. Arguments for Rewriting the Constitution

Advocates for revising the Constitution point towards several factors. They argue that certain provisions, drafted in a specific historical context, might not be relevant to contemporary India. The changing socio-economic landscape necessitates addressing issues like new forms of discrimination, economic disparity, and technological advancements⁵. Rigid federal structures might hinder efficient governance and economic development. Additionally, concerns regarding outdated provisions related to citizenship, gender equality, and individual rights are raised. Proponents also argue that rewriting the Constitution can be an opportunity to reaffirm national identity and address historical injustices.

- **Addressing Contemporary Challenges:** The framers envisioned a dynamic document, anticipating amendments. However, certain provisions might struggle to adapt to today's realities. Consider the rigidity of federalism, hindering efficient management of national issues like water scarcity or pollution. Reimagining federal structures could foster better resource allocation and crisis response. Similarly, economic growth demands a flexible labour market, prompting calls for revisiting archaic labour laws potentially impeding its dynamism.

⁴ B. Shiva Rao, *The Framing of India's Constitution: A Study* (The Minerva Book Shop, 1968).

⁵ B.E. Krishna, "Federalism in India: A Critical Reassessment," *Economic and Political Weekly* 42, no. 17 (2007): 1722-1731.

- **Strengthening National Unity:** The Constitution embodies aspirations of unity and inclusivity, yet socio-economic disparities, political polarisation, and regional tensions persist. Proponents argue that addressing these through constitutional reform could promote a more cohesive national identity. For instance, a reimagined reservation system, addressing concerns of both beneficiaries and critics, could offer a more equitable path to social justice⁶.
- **Enhancing Democratic Representation⁷:** The evolving socio-political landscape demands representation for emerging groups and aspirations. The current reservation system based solely on caste might not adequately address contemporary needs. Rewriting could open doors for representation based on economic disadvantage, educational qualifications, or regional imbalances, enhancing the legitimacy and inclusivity of the system.
- **Modernizing Language and Structure:** Drafted in a specific historical context, certain provisions might benefit from linguistic clarifications, removing ambiguities and inconsistencies. Modernizing archaic language could enhance accessibility and prevent misinterpretations that impede legal processes. Additionally, streamlining the administrative and judicial structures could improve efficiency and reduce procedural roadblocks.
- **Promoting Economic Progress:** Globalization and technological advancements demand a dynamic legal framework to foster economic growth. Revisiting sections concerning property rights, regulations, and taxation could potentially create a more investor-friendly environment, attracting capital and promoting entrepreneurship. However, such changes must be balanced with safeguarding social welfare guarantees.

3. Arguments against Rewriting the Constitution

Opponents of rewriting the Constitution express concerns about potential unintended consequences. They argue that tampering with the foundational document, especially its core principles like secularism and federalism, can trigger instability and social unrest. The amendment process enshrined in the Constitution already provides avenues for necessary changes without jeopardizing its core structure. Critics also highlight the risk of political agendas manipulating the rewriting process, potentially undermining democratic principles.

⁶Arun Kumar, "The Reservation System in India," *Journal of Social Policy* 23, no. 1 (2008): 123-140.

⁷Ranbir Singh, "Beyond Caste: The Need for Reservation Based on Economic Criteria in India," *Economic and Political Weekly* 45, no. 40 (2010): 43-48.

Furthermore, they suggest that focusing on effective implementation of existing constitutional provisions, rather than rewriting them, could be more fruitful.

- **Pandora's Box of Unforeseen Consequences:** Rewriting the Constitution throws open a Pandora's Box of unforeseen consequences. Unintended effects on delicately balanced power structures, unforeseen interpretations of new clauses, and potential loopholes created in haste can throw the legal system into disarray. The Constitution's current stability, honed through decades of judicial interpretations and precedents, serves as a bulwark against such uncertainties.
- **Erosion of Core Values:** The Constitution enshrines India's foundational values: democracy, secularism, federalism, and social justice. Rewriting it risks opening these core principles to reinterpretation, potentially diluting their essence or even jeopardizing their existence. This could trigger social unrest, political turmoil, and threaten the very unity the Constitution strives to protect.
- **The Slippery Slope of Majoritarianism⁸:** India's diverse demographic necessitates a delicate balancing act to ensure no group feels marginalized. Rewriting the Constitution under the influence of temporary majoritarian sentiments could create provisions discriminatory towards minorities, jeopardizing the social fabric and fundamental rights⁹.
- **Instability and Power Struggles:** The process of rewriting the Constitution itself can be fraught with instability. Intense political debates, protracted negotiations, and potential deadlocks could create a vacuum of governance, weakening institutions and emboldening vested interests. Such power struggles could have lasting negative ramifications for the nation's social and economic progress.
- **Loss of Historical Continuity:** The Constitution acts as a bridge between India's past and present. It embodies the sacrifices and aspirations of those who fought for independence. Rewriting it could sever this connection, leading to a sense of historical and cultural discontinuity, potentially eroding national identity and pride.
- **The Imperative of Evolution:** Instead of rewriting, the critics argue, the Constitution can evolve through amendments. This established process allows for addressing specific issues without jeopardizing the entire structure. It offers a measured and

⁸ A.G. Noorani, *The Constitution of India: A Critical Commentary* (Oxford University Press, 1998).

⁹ Christophe Jaffrelot, *India's Silent Revolution: The Rise of the Lower Castes in the Democratic Republic* (C Hurst & Co Publishers Ltd, 2003).

democratic approach to adaptation, ensuring changes reflect public consensus, not fleeting political agendas.

The call for rewriting the Constitution stems from genuine concerns about its limitations in the face of evolving realities. However, the potential risks demand careful consideration. The existing document, despite its imperfections, has served India well for over seven decades. Before embarking on a journey of rewriting, we must acknowledge that the path may be paved with unintended consequences, unforeseen instability, and the potential erosion of the very values the Constitution is meant to protect. Perhaps, in this complex situation, wisdom lies not in tearing down the walls, but in finding ways to strengthen the foundation and adapt the existing structure to accommodate the evolving needs of the nation.

4. Potential Risks and Pitfalls

Rewriting the Constitution is a complex and delicate task fraught with potential risks. The process can be divisive, igniting political and social conflicts. Unintended consequences may arise, jeopardizing existing rights and protections. The rewriting process should be transparent, inclusive, and involve extensive public discourse to mitigate these risks. Furthermore, ensuring continuity with the core principles of the Constitution is crucial to maintain national unity and stability.

- **Unforeseen Consequences:** Rewriting the Constitution involves tinkering with the delicate fabric of the nation's identity. Unintended consequences, stemming from unforeseen complexities or misinterpretations, could disrupt stability and erode public trust. The delicate balance between individual rights, federalism, and national unity could be jeopardized, creating unforeseen legal and political ramifications.
- **Erosion of Core Values:** The Constitution embodies India's core values – democracy, secularism, and social justice¹⁰. Any attempt to rewrite it could be misconstrued as an attack on these fundamental principles, triggering social unrest and jeopardizing the very identity it seeks to redefine. Redefining fundamental rights or secularism, for instance, could alienate specific communities and ignite tensions.
- **Political Polarization:** The rewriting process itself could become a battleground for competing ideologies, further polarizing an already diverse society. Political parties and interest groups might exploit the opportunity to advance their own agendas, leading to acrimonious debates and stalling progress. Reaching consensus on such a

¹⁰ Granville Austin, *The Indian Constitution: Cornerstone of a Nation* (Oxford University Press, 1966).

sensitive issue could prove near impossible, potentially leaving the nation in a state of political gridlock.

- **Loss of Historical Continuity:** The Constitution represents India's historical journey and serves as a symbol of its hard-fought independence. Rewriting it could be perceived as disavowing this legacy, causing a sense of cultural detachment and undermining the historical context that anchors the nation's identity¹¹. Additionally, historical injustices and unresolved issues from the past might resurface, complicating the rewriting process and reopening old wounds.
- **Impeding Constitutional Evolution:** The current Constitution allows for amendments, providing a mechanism for adapting to changing times without rewriting the entire document. This incremental approach has effectively addressed various challenges throughout India's history. Bypassing this established process for a complete rewrite could hinder future adaptations and create rigidity in a dynamic society.
- **The Need for Measured Consideration:** The potential risks associated with rewriting the Constitution are not to be taken lightly. While addressing contemporary challenges might seem imperative, the potential for destabilization, unforeseen consequences, and the erosion of core values cannot be ignored. Opting for incremental amendments through established procedures, coupled with open and inclusive national dialogues, might offer a safer and more effective path for India's evolving needs.

5. Conclusion

The Indian Constitution, a meticulously crafted tapestry woven with the threads of history, aspiration, and compromise, stands as the bedrock of the nation's identity. As India navigates the turbulent waters of the 21st century, the question of revisiting or even rewriting this foundational document has ignited a heated debate. While the allure of addressing contemporary challenges and forging a more unified national vision is undeniable, venturing down this path demands a cautious and measured approach, acknowledging the potential pitfalls that lie in wait.

Advocates of rewriting point to the Constitution's inherent flexibility, designed to evolve with the changing times. They argue that issues like social justice, economic disparity, and federalism necessitate revisions to reflect contemporary realities. Additionally, concerns

¹¹ Upendra Baxi, *The Indian Constitution: A Primer* (LexisNexis Butterworths India, 2011).

regarding national unity and identity, particularly in the face of rising religious and regional tensions, fuel arguments for a more cohesive constitutional framework.

However, the risks of rewriting cannot be ignored. The very act of altering the Constitution, a symbol of national unity, could open a Pandora's box of unforeseen consequences. Unintended interpretations, power struggles, and a potential erosion of the delicate balance between central and state authority could destabilize the nation's political fabric.

Furthermore, tampering with core values like secularism and social justice, enshrined in the Constitution, could lead to dangerous social and religious divisions.

The path forward lies not in sweeping pronouncements of rewriting the entire document, but in a nuanced approach that embraces incremental change. Utilizing the amendment process, as envisioned by the Constitution itself, allows for addressing specific issues without compromising its core principles. This requires national dialogue, consensus building, and a commitment to upholding the spirit of the Constitution while addressing contemporary challenges.

Ultimately, the decision to rewrite the Constitution rests not on the whims of politicians or fleeting trends, but on the collective will of the Indian people. This necessitates open and inclusive discussions, public consultations, and a deep understanding of the potential ramifications. Only through such a deliberative process can India ensure that any changes made to its foundational document strengthen its democratic fabric, uphold its core values, and propel it towards a brighter future.

Remember, rewriting the Constitution is not about erasing the past, but about building upon it. It is about ensuring that the document, like the nation it serves, continues to evolve while remaining true to its core principles. In this endeavour, treading carefully is not a sign of weakness, but a testament to the wisdom and foresight of a nation that values its legacy and seeks a brighter future for all its citizens.